

UNITED LINES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

#Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARK Washington, D.C. 20231

Salah dan Salah	e garage e e se s	A SAME STREET OF THE	et de la	A CONTRACTOR OF STREET AND STREET
APPLICATION NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/962,615	02/08/200	51 Kanome	Nakahara_	216208 USB XPCT
a agrapheria (g. 1905) A green agrapheria (g. 1905) B green agrapheria (g. 1905)	the end of	and the second s	in the state of th	SHEIKH CONTROL STATE
The Bechiling in page them girt chen in	Section of the second of the s	e nggawa i miligi jilawi ni kasan		FUNIT PAPER NUMBER STORY OF A STATE OF THE
ବ୍ୟବ୍ୟ ପ୍ରଧାନ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ବହାଯାନ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଅଧିକ ପ୍ରଧାନ ଓଡ଼ିଆ । ଅଧିକ ଧିକ ଧିକ ଧିକ ଧିକ ଧିକ ଧିକ ଧିକ ଧିକ ଧିକ			DATE M	AILED: 8 TO THE STORY OF STORY
representation de la company d	core, mo de careza no secono el.	As the second of the second of the second of	The state of the s	्या प्रदेशक । अने भारता द्वात ध्रीतिक्रमान्यक । उत्तर्भ
The first of the second of the second	ear in the factor of the second secon	waster stagged to the control of the	erenament serieten erenament	ey procedural made is one day sology of Seno region parties at your gro
HUMERA N. SHE	<u>ICH</u>	(3) 400 P(0)(2	<u>(</u>	A CONTRACTOR OF THE STATE OF TH
GOUH MUDI KISH	rope, ph.a.	<u> </u>	The Bridge Comment	the commanded the second control of
ate of Interview	MY 200-3		ess in the configuration of last	tion to discovered in the section with the
p : 🗆 Telephonic 🔲 Televide	o Conference Dersonal	I (copy is given to . □ a _I	pplicant Applicant	's representative).
thibit shown or demonstration cor	nducted: Yes PRNo If	l yes, brief description:		see this by the term of
		The ALEST CO. 1775. 144	5 1586 S 55 W.	Fig. 16. (1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1. 1
preement was reached. What was reached. What was reached. What was reached. What was reached.	പ്രത്ത് 🎢 നി കള്ള ക്വിധാവിക്കുടെ	oredo. Claims	es comb	er en general de la Calabra de la composition della composition de
entification of prior art discussed:	on record		9 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	ر از این
•	and the second of the second	the second second second	4 ************************************	<u> 18 2528 25 2 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</u>
escription of the general nature of	fulbat was agreed to if an a	arooment was reached	or any other comment	The teach need a Paiste
A THE				- by V
15 cussed. He crains a	the alternay the	reprence reaches		A Carrier and the Control of the Control
id drugs and idoes no	It define the mem	brane interms of A	operatic Compone	nts Havever, the region as
es teach the membrane	problematria to	therapy of the control of	viru, transport a line in the	of the area of the continued and the state of
s water soluble polyme	1 / (2	of define it as)	U	
fuller description, if necessary, a	of (exishy clams) and a copy of the amendments when the amendments when the copy of the co	nts, if available, which th	ie examiner agreed wo	d Claim), the day being 11 (could render the claims allowable able, a summary thereof must be
t is not necessary for applica	ant to provide a separate re	cord of the substance of	the interview.	ergen er en e En en er
(nless the paragraph above has be NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCL	een checked to indicate to t UDE THE SUBSTANCE OF PLICANT IS GIVEN ONE N	the contrary. A FORMAL F THE INTERVIEW. (See	WRITTEN REPLY TO e MPEP Section 713.0	O THE LAST OFFICE ACTION 04). If a reply to the last Office LE A STATEMENT OF THE
-: <u>-</u>		er)		6 Kishr
taminer Note: You must sign this	form unless it is an attachm	ient to another form.	Call	omudi C Viábora PhD
d du patentabili	I is carefully a by geland leker	ninel.	· ·	amudi S. Kishore, PhD nary Examiner
, -	, .			
RM PTOL-413 (REV. 2-98)		_	, Gro	nb 16900
		AILABLE COP	GIO	up 1 <u>5</u> 00

Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, Sction 713,04 Substance of Interview must Be Mad | f R cord

Except as otherwise provided, a complete written statement as to the substance of <u>any</u> face to face or telephone <u>interview</u> with regard to an application <u>must be</u> <u>made of record in the application</u>, whether or not an agreement with the examiner was reached at the interview.

§1.133 Interviews

(b) In every instance where reconsideration is requested in view of an interview with an examiner, a complete written statement of the reasons presented at the interview as warranting favorable action must be filed by the applicant. An interview does not remove the necessity for reply to Office action as specified in §§ 1.111 and 1.135. (35 U.S.C. 132)

§ 1.2. Business to be transacted in writing. All business with the Patent or Trademark Office should be transacted in writing. The personal attendance of applicants or their attorneys or agents at the Patent and Trademark Office is unnecessary. The action of the Patent and Trademark Office will be based exclusively on the written record in the Office. No attention will be paid to any alleged oral promise, stipulation, or understanding in relation to which there is disagreement or doubt.

The action of the Patent and Trademark Office cannot be based exclusively on the written record in the Office if that record is itself incomplete through the failure to record the substance of interviews.

It is the responsibility of the applicant or the attorney or agent to make the substance of an interview of record in the application file, unless the examiner indicates he or she will do so. It is the examiner's responsibility to see that such a record is made and to correct material inaccuracies which bear directly on the question of patentability.

Examiners must complete a two-sheet carbon interleaf Interview Summary Form for each interview held after January 1, 1978 where a matter of substance has been discussed during the interview by checking the appropriate boxes and filling in the blanks in neat handwritten form using a ball point pen. Discussions regarding only procedural matters, directed solely to restriction requirements for which interview recordation is otherwise provided for in Section 812.01 of the Manual of Patent Examining Procedure, pointing out typographical errors or unreadable script in Office actions or the like, or resulting in an examiner's amendment that fully sets forth the agreement are excluded from the interview recordation procedures below.

The Interview Summary Form shall be given an appropriate paper number, placed in the right hand portion of the file, and listed on the "Contents" list on the file wrapper. In a personal interview, the duplicate copy of the Form is removed and given to the applicant (or attorney or agent) at the conclusion of the interview. In the case of a telephonic interview, the copy is mailed to the applicant's correspondence address either with or prior to the next official communication.

The Form provides for recordation of the following information:

- Application Number of the application
- Name of applicant
- -Name of examiner
- Date of interview-
- Type of interview (personal or telephonic)
- -Name of participant(s)) (applicant, attorney or agent, etc.)
- An Indication whether or not an exhibit was shown or a demonstration conducted

THE REPORT OF MARKET

- An identification of the claims discussed
- -An identification of the specific prior art discussed
- An indication whether an agreement was reached and if so, a description of the general nature of the agreement (may be by attachment of a copy of amendments or claims agreed as being allowable). (Agreements as to allowability are tentative and do not restrict further action by the examiner to the contrary.)
- -The signature of the examiner who conducted the interview
- -Names of other Patent and Trademark Office personnel present.

The Form also contains a statement reminding the applicant of his responsibility to record the substance of the interview.

It is desireable that the examiner orally remind the applicant of his obligation to record the substance of the interview in each case unless both applicant and examiner agree that the examiner will record same. Where the examiner agrees to record the substance of the interview, or when it is adequately recorded on the Form or in an attachment to the Form, the examiner should check a box at the bottom of the Form informing the applicant that he need not supplement the Form by submitting a separate record of the substance of the interview.

It should be noted, however, that the Interview Summary Form witl not normally be considered a complete and proper recordation of the interview unless it includes, or is supplemented by the applicant or the examiner to include, all of the applicable items required below concerning the substance of the interview:

- A complete and proper recordation of the substance of any interview should include at least the following applicable items:
- 1) A brief description of the nature of any exhibit shown or any demonstration conducted,
- : 2) an identification of the claims discussed,
- 3) an identification of specific prior art discussed,
- 4) an identification of the principal proposed amendments of a substantive nature discussed, unless these are already described on the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner,
- 5) a brief identification of the general thrust of the principal arguments presented to the examiner. The identification of arguments need not be lengthy or elaborate. A verbatim or highly detailed description of the arguments is not required. The identification of the arguments is sufficient if the general nature or thrust of the principal arguments made to the examiner can be understood in the context of the application file. Of course, the applicant may desire to emphasize and fully describe those arguments which he feels were or might be persuasive to the examiner,
- 6) a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed, and
- 7) if appropriate, the general results or outcome of the interview unless already described in the Interview Summary Form completed by the examiner.

Examiners are expected to carefully review the applicant's record of the substance of an interview. If the record is not complete or accurate, the examiner will give the applicant one month from the date of the notifying letter to complete the reply and thereby avoid abandonment of the application (37 CFR 1.135(c)).

Examiner to Check for Accuracy

Applicant's summary of what took place at the interview should be carefully checked to determine the accuracy of any argument or statement attributed to the examiner during the interview. If there is an inaccuracy and it bears directly on the question of patentability, it should be pointed out in the next Office letter. If the claims are allowable for other reasons of record, the examiner should send a letter setting forth his or her version of the statement attributed to him. If the record is complete and accurate, the examiner should place the indication "Interview record OK" on the paper recording the substance of the interview along with the date and the examiner's initials.

ist over a progress across selfice process and constrained to a constant of the con-